RESEARCH



Sexual differences in neuronal and synaptic properties across subregions of the mouse insular cortex

Daniela lezzi^{1,2}, Alba Cáceres-Rodríguez^{1,2}, Benjamin Strauss^{1,2}, Pascale Chavis^{1,2} and Olivier J. Manzoni^{1,2,3*}

Abstract

Background The insular cortex (IC) plays a pivotal role in processing interoceptive and emotional information, offering insights into sex differences in behavior and cognition. The IC comprises two distinct subregions: the anterior insular cortex (aIC), that processes emotional and social signals, and the posterior insular cortex (pIC), specialized in interoception and perception of pain. Pyramidal projection neurons within the IC integrate multimodal sensory inputs, influencing behavior and cognition. Despite previous research focusing on neuronal connectivity and transcriptomics, there has been a gap in understanding pyramidal neurons characteristics across subregions and between sexes.

Methods Adult male and female C57BI/6J mice were sacrificed and tissue containing the IC was collected for ex vivo slice electrophysiology recordings that examined baseline sex differences in synaptic plasticity and transmission within alC and pIC subregions.

Results Clear differences emerged between alC and plC neurons in both males and females: alC neurons exhibited distinctive features such as larger size, increased hyperpolarization, and a higher rheobase compared to their plC counterparts. Furthermore, we observed variations in neuronal excitability linked to sex, with male plC neurons displaying a greater level of excitability than their female counterparts. We also identified region-specific differences in excitatory and inhibitory synaptic activity and the balance between excitation and inhibition in both male and female mice. Adult females demonstrated greater synaptic strength and maximum response in the alC compared to the plC. Lastly, synaptic long-term potentiation occurred in both subregions in males but was specific to the alC in females.

Conclusions We conclude that there are sex differences in synaptic plasticity and excitatory transmission in IC subregions, and that distinct properties of IC pyramidal neurons between sexes could contribute to differences in behavior and cognition between males and females.

Highlights

- Distinctions specific to sex are present within subregions of the insular cortex (IC) in C57BI/6J mice.
- Pyramidal neurons in the anterior IC (aIC) exhibited larger size and distinct electrical properties. Adult females exhibited stronger synaptic responses in the aIC.

*Correspondence: Olivier J. Manzoni olivier.manzoni@inserm.fr Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/fuenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

- Conversely, male posterior insular cortex neurons displayed increased excitability.
- Synaptic long-term potentiation was observed in both subregions in males, but it was exclusive to the alC in females.
- Sex-based variations in various aspects of excitatory transmission within IC subregions could contribute to differences in behavior and cognition between males and females.

Keywords Sex, Insular cortex, Pyramidal neurons

Plain language summary

This study investigates differences in the insular cortex (IC), a region of the brain responsible for emotions and sensory perceptions, between male and female mice. The IC has two parts: the front (aIC) deals with emotions and social cues, while the back (pIC) is focused on sensing pain and bodily sensations. We examined specific brain cells called pyramidal neurons in both aIC and pIC and discovered noteworthy distinctions between these neurons in adult male and female mice. Firstly, aIC neurons were larger and had unique electrical properties in both male and female mice. Males had more excitable pIC neurons compared to females, indicating that their neurons were more likely to transmit signals. We also explored how these neurons communicate with each other through connections known as synapses. In adult females, the aIC had stronger connections than the pIC. Finally, we observed that specific types of basic synaptic learning occurred exclusively in males in the aIC. These findings underscore significant disparities in the IC between males and females, offering valuable insights into the potential reasons behind variations in behaviors and emotions between sexes.

Introduction

The human insula, a deep brain region with extensive connections to various cortical and subcortical regions, is known for its role in processing interoceptive and emotional information [1]. The insular cortex (IC), is subdivided into two distinct regions: the anterior (aIC) and the posterior IC (pIC), each with unique functions. In humans, the aIC is associated primarily with self-awareness and social emotions like empathy and compassion [2], while the pIC handles interoceptive information processing, such as temperature and heart regulation, taste perception, pain processing, and attention to bodily sensations [3, 4]. Animal studies, principally rodents, also corroborate the central role played by the IC in integrating sensory, emotional, and cognitive information. The IC may contribute to sex differences in brain disorders through its involvement in various functions and its differential activation and connectivity patterns between males and females. For example, sex-related IC differences may lead to differences in how emotions are processed and how sensitive individuals are to social emotions, which are mainly associated with the aIC or on pain processing and interoceptive awareness, functions primarily associated with the pIC. These variations could result in differences in how pain is perceived, how stress is responded to, or the degree of attention given to bodily sensations when comparing individuals of different sexes. A current hypothesis is that these differences play a role in the varying prevalence and manifestation of certain disorders between the sexes. Several neuropsychiatric diseases have shown variations in prevalence between men and women. While autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), early onset schizophrenia, conduct disorders Tourette syndrome, and substance use disorders (SUD) are more commonly diagnosed in males [5–7]; anxiety and traumarelated disorders, are more common in women than in men [8-10]. Interestingly, the IC has been implicated in mood disorders, panic disorder, PTSD, obsessive-compulsive disorder, eating disorders, schizophrenia [11] and SUD [6, 7]. Exploring these sex-related variations in the IC's subregions is imperative to gain insights into the neural underpinnings of behaviors and cognitive processes specific to each sex. Pyramidal neurons, acting as the primary excitatory neurons within the IC, have a pivotal role in processing interoceptive, emotional, and cognitive information. Their critical function involves transmitting and integrating information within the IC, particularly from the pIC to the aIC, and onwards to output regions, thereby directly influencing both behavior and cognition. Although prior research has extensively examined the connectivity and transcriptomics of these neurons [12, 13] a comprehensive investigation into the intrinsic passive, active, and synaptic properties of these neurons has been notably lacking. Yet, it is these intrinsic properties that form the very foundation of the IC's functionalities. To gain a comprehensive understanding of the IC's operations, it is imperative to systematically compare these intrinsic properties not only between different subregions but also between different sexes. This study focused on examining disparities in cellular and synaptic properties among neurons located in the aIC and pIC regions of mice, taking into consideration variations linked to sex. The results highlighted notable intrinsic dissimilarities between neurons in both the aIC and pIC across both male and female subjects. Moreover, we identified sex-related distinctions in terms of neuronal excitability and synaptic activity. These findings provide valuable insights into the neural underpinnings of sexrelated differences in behavior and cognition associated with the IC.

Materials and methods

Animals

Animals were treated in compliance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC) and the United States NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The French Ethical committee authorized the project APAFIS#18476-2019022510121076 v3. Adult male and female C57BL6/J (12–17 weeks age) were purchased from Charles River and housed in standard wiretopped Plexiglas cages ($42 \times 27 \times 14$ cm), in a temperature and humidity-controlled condition (i.e., temperature 21 ± 1 °C, $60 \pm 10\%$ relative humidity and 12 h light/dark cycles). Food and water were available ad *libitum*.

Slice preparation

Adult male and female mice (PND 90-120, N=16 and N=12 respectively) were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and sacrificed according to institutional regulations. The brain was sliced (300 μ m) in the coronal plane with a vibratome (Integraslice, Campden Instruments) in a sucrose-based solution at 4 °C (87 mM NaCl, 75 mM sucrose, 25 mM glucose, 2.5 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 23 mM NaHCO₃, and 1.25 mM NaH_2PO_4). Immediately after cutting, slices containing anterior or posterior IC were stored for 30 min at 32 °C in a low-calcium artificial CSF (ACSF) that contained the following: 130 mM NaCl, 11 mM glucose, 2.5 mM KCl, 2.4 mM MgCl₂, 1.2 mM CaCl₂, 23 mM NaHCO₃, and 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, and were equilibrated with 95% O2/5% CO₂ and then at room temperature until the time of recording. During the recording, slices were placed in the recording chamber and continuously perfused at 2 ml/min with warm (32°–34 °C) low Ca_2 + solution.

Electrophysiology

Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were made from the soma of layer V pyramidal anterior or posterior IC neurons. The latter were visualized under a differential interference contrast microscope using an upright microscope with infrared illumination (Olympus, France). For current-clamp experiments and voltage clamp recording, patch pipettes were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM): K⁺ gluconate (145 K⁺ gluconate, 3 NaCl, 1 MgCl₂, 1 EGTA, 0.3 CaCl₂, 2 Na²⁺ ATP, 0.3 Na⁺ GTP, and 0.2 cAMP, buffered with 10 HEPES). The pH was adjusted to 7.25 and osmolarity to 290-300 mOsm. Electrode resistance was 2-4 MΩ. Access resistance compensation was not used, and acceptable access resistance was < 30 M Ω . The potential reference of the amplifier was adjusted to zero before breaking into the cell. Cells were held at - 70 mV. Current-voltage (I–V) curves were made by a series of hyperpolarizing to depolarizing current steps immediately after breaking into the cell. To determine rheobase a series of depolarizing current steps was applied. Spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) were recorded at -70 mV and isolated by using the GABA_A receptor blocker gabazine 10 mM (SR 95531 hydrobromide; Tocris).

When inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) were recorded, the recording pipettes were filled with a highchloride solution of the following composition (in mM): 140 KCl, 1.6 MgCl₂, 2.5 MgATP, 0.5 NaGTP, 2 EGTA, 10 HEPES. The pH solution was adjusted to 7.25–7.3 and osmolarity to 280–300 mOsm. Electrode resistance was 3–4 M Ω . Spontaneous IPSCs (sIPSCs) were recorded at – 70 mV in presence of 20 μ M CNQX (6-Cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione disodium, an AMPA receptor antagonist, Tocris) and L-APV 50 μ M (DL-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid, a selective NMDA receptor antagonist, Tocris).

For extracellular field potential recordings, both stimulating and recording electrode were positioned in the layer V of a/pIC. Both fEPSP area and amplitude were analyzed. Stimulation was performed with a glass electrode filled with aCSF and the stimulus intensity was adjusted ~ 60% of maximal intensity after performing an input-output curve in presence of gabazine (10 mM). For LTP experiments, baseline stimulation frequency was set at 0.1 Hz and plasticity was induced by a high-frequency stimulation (HFS; stimuli were applied at 100 Hz for 1 s, repeated 3 times at 10 s interval). The glutamatergic nature of the field EPSP (fEPSP) was systematically confirmed at the end of the experiments using the ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonist CNQX (20 mM), which specifically blocked the synaptic component without altering the non-synaptic.

Data was recorded in current clamp with an Axopatch-200B amplifier, low pass filtered at 2 kHz, digitized (10 kHz, DigiData 1440A, Axon Instruments), collected and analyzed using Clampex 10.7 (Molecular Device).

Data analysis and statistics

Except for Principal Component Analysis (PCA, see below), data were analysed off-line with Clampfit 10.7

(Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and Axo-Graph X. Graphs and Figure layouts were generated with GraphPad Prism 7.0. Datasets were tested for the normality (D'Agostino-Pearson and Shapiro-Wilk) and outliers (ROUT test) before running parametric tests. Statistical significance of difference between means was assessed with two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison post hoc tests as indicated in figure legends. When achieved, the significance was expressed as exactly *p*-value in the figures. The experimental results are described qualitatively in the main text, whereas experimental and statistical details, including sample size (n/N = cells/animals), statistical test, p-value, main effects and interactions, are reported in Figure legends or in Supplementary Tables. Quantitative data are presented as Box and whisker plots, reporting median, min and max values, and superimposed scatter plots to show individual data points.

Membrane capacitance (Cm) was estimated by integrating the capacitive current evoked by a - 2 mV pulse, whereas the membrane resistance was estimated from the I–V curve around resting membrane potential. The latter (RMP) was measured immediately after wholecell formation during the current-clamp protocol. The input-output curve was made by measuring the number of action potentials elicited by depolarizing current steps of increasing amplitude, while to determine rheobase a series of depolarizing 10 pA current steps was applied. The frequency and amplitude of sE/ IPSCs were analysed with Axograph X using a double exponential template: $f(t) = \exp(-t/rise) + \exp(-t/rise)$ decay) (rise = 0.5 ms and decay = 3 ms; rise = 0.2 ms and decay = 10 ms, respectively). The detection threshold for the events was set at 3 times the baseline noise SD, whereas the one for the amplitude detection was set at - 7 pA.

Total charge was calculated by summing the charge transfer of all individual events (sEPSCs or sIPSCs) detected over a 6 min acquisition period for each neuron.

For field recording experiments, the magnitude of plasticity was calculated at 0–10 and 20–30 min after induction as percentage of baseline responses.

Considering the parameters reported in the evaluation of intrinsic and synaptic transmission properties, PCA was computed using "The FactoMineR package". Intrinsic properties of layer 5 pyramidal neurons were analysed via PCA with membrane capacitance, rheobase, resting membrane potentials, neuronal excitabilities and voltage membrane response to a different injected current steps as quantitative variables and individual cells as individuals. Missing values were imputed by the mean of the variable. Supplementary qualitive variables were the two insular cortexes (anterior and posterior, two modalities), sex (2 modalities) and group (4 modalities). The cumulative relative contribution of PCs against the variance, the contribution and correlation were investigated.

Results

Intrinsic properties of pyramidal neurons in the anterior and posterior IC of both sexes

In the rostro-caudal axis, the IC is divided into two regions: the anterior insula (aIC) and the posterior insula (pIC). While the distinct reciprocal connections of these regions with cortical and subcortical brain areas have been well-characterized, less is known about their intrinsic properties. Thus, electrophysiological characterizations of pyramidal neurons in both aIC and pIC were conducted in male and female subjects: layer V pyramidal neurons were whole-cell patch clamped in acute coronal slices from mouse aged between 90 to 120 postnatal days (PNDs) (Fig. 1A, B).

There were no significant differences in passive or active membrane properties when comparing males

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Subregional intrinsic properties of anterior and posterior insular cortex pyramidal neurons in males and females. **A**, **B** Representative coronal sections of the brain from the anterior (Bregma + 1.98) and posterior (Bregma – 1.06) regions of the insular cortex (IC) showing recording sites of layer V pyramidal neurons. **C**, **D** Quantitative analysis of passive and active membrane properties revealed that anterior IC (alC) pyramidal neurons are significantly larger (i.e., larger capacitance) and hyperpolarized compared to posterior IC (plC) neurons, and that this difference is present in both male and female mouse. **E**, **F** Subregional differences in the current–voltage relationship between alC and plC pyramidal neurons were found in response to current injection steps of 50 pA, ranging from – 400 pA to + 50 pA, in adult females (**E**), but not in males (**F**). **G** An example of an action potential (AP) evoked by increasing current steps is illustrated, with the rheobase indicated. **H** The results indicated that the minimal current required to trigger an AP (rheobase) was significantly higher in alC compared to plC pyramidal neurons. Data are presented as box-and-whisker plots (minimum, maximum, median) for (**C-D-H**), and as mean ± SEM in XY plots for (**E–F**). Two-way ANOVA followed by Šídák's multiple comparison test was performed for (**C-D-H**), and Mann–Whitney U test was applied for (**E–F**). P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. The sample sizes for alC male and female were 14/10 and 13/6, respectively, and for plC male and female were 28/15 and 20/12, respectively



Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

and females within each IC region. However, substantial differences were observed between the anterior and posterior IC. Specifically, pyramidal neurons in the aIC were notably bigger and hyperpolarized compared to those in the pIC (Fig. 1C, D, Table 1). Furthermore, aIC exhibited a higher rheobase compared to pIC neurons in both males and females, consistent with their hyperpolarized resting potential (Fig. 1H, Table 1).

When comparing the membrane voltage response to hyperpolarizing current steps, we observed that in males, there were no significant differences between aIC and pIC (Fig. 1E, Table 2). However, in females, the voltage response of aIC was significantly lower compared to pIC (Fig. 1F, Table 2). Finally, no sex differences were observed when comparing the voltage membrane responses in both cortexes (Additional file 1: Fig. S1A, B, Additional file 4: Table S1). Principal Component Analysis (PCA, Fig. 3, Table 3) with membrane capacitance, rheobase, resting membrane potentials, neuronal excitabilities, and voltage membrane response to a different injected current steps as quantitative variables, indicated that subregion if the principal contributor to the dataset variance when all groups are considered (Fig. 3B).

Excitability differences in anterior and posterior insular cortex pyramidal neurons by sex and subregion

The observed differences in passive and active membrane properties between the aIC and pIC suggest distinct levels of excitability of the principal projecting neurons in these two subregions.

To assess the intrinsic excitability of IC principal neurons, we recorded their membrane response profiles in reaction to a series of somatic current steps. Both male and female mouse exhibited significant differences in membrane profiles between the aIC and pIC, indicating a higher excitability of pyramidal neurons in the posterior IC (Fig. 2A, B, Table 4). However, when comparing sexes, pyramidal neurons showed similar excitability (Additional file 1: Fig. S1C, D, Additional file 4: Table S1). Furthermore, within the "physiological range", neurons in the aIC fired less frequently than those in the pIC, independently of sex. Notably, among males, pyramidal neurons in the males

Misure Area Sex Median MAX MIN n/N Two-way Anova Main Multiple comparison effects and interactions (Šidák's post hoc test) Cm (pA/pF) Μ 205.9 294 95.67 14/10 alC Male vs pIC Male alC Interaction: p = 0.0103n = 0.9586F 200.2 302.1 82.38 13/6Area: alC Female vs plC Female pIC Μ 92.73 271.8 21.66 28/15 F (1.65) = 15.93 p = 0.0153F alC Male vs alC Female 102.1 235.2 28.54 20/12 p = 0.0002Sex: p = 0.7007pIC Male vs pIC Female F (1.65) = 1.323 p = 0.2543p = 0.6001Vr (mV) alC М - 73.45 - 66 - 79.07 14/10alC Male vs pIC Male Interaction: p = 0.4244p = 0.0002F - 75.34 - 71.75 - 80.78 13/6 alC Female vs plC Female Area: - 67.19 - 60 - 76 28/15 pIC Μ F(1.70)=39.08 p = < 0.0001F - 69.69 - 57.34 - 78.22 20/12 p = < 0.000alC Male vs alC Female Sex: p = 0.3378F (1.70) = 1.791 pIC Male vs pIC Female p = 0.1852p = 0.8815Rh (pA) alC Μ 125 240 50 14/10 alC Male vs pIC Male Interaction: p = 0.0010F p = 0.3339190 120 280 13/6 Area: alC Female vs plC Female pIC Μ 90 160 30 28/15 F(1.69) = 35.52 pp = < 0.0001F 80 220 20 20/12 = < 0.0001 alC Male vs alC Female p = 0.0828Sex: F(1.69) = 5.301pIC Male vs pIC Female p = 0.0243p = 0.482510 10/14 Number of APs alC Μ 3.5 0 Interaction: p=0.5648 alC Male vs pIC Male (+150 pA) p = 0.0019Area: F 0 0 6/13 6 F (1.60) = 18.33 alC Female vs plC Female 8 23 0 15/28 plC Μ p = < 0.0001p = 0.0241F 4 18 0 12/20 Sex: alC Male vs alC Female F(1.60)=6.156 p = 0.3748p = 0.0159pIC Male vs pIC Female p = 0.0480

Table 1 Active and passive membrane properties of alC and plC pyramidal neurons in both sexes

Measure (unit)	Condition	Injected current (pA)	alC			plC	p value Multiple Mann– Whitney Unpaired t		
			Mean	SEM	n/N	Mean	SEM	n/N	lest
Voltage changes per	Male	- 400	- 30.53	2.28	14/10	- 36.5	3.14	28/15	0.34
current step		- 350	- 27.98	2.18		- 32.99	2.83		0.39
$(\Delta VIII)$		- 300	- 24.89	1.98		- 29.29	2.51		0.35
		- 250	- 21.98	1.8		- 25.65	2.22		0.37
		- 200	- 18.51	1.6		- 21.46	1.85		0.39
		- 150	- 14.77	1.31		- 16.94	1.5		0.47
		- 100	- 10.62	1		- 12.15	1.13		0.59
		- 50	- 5.68	0.58		- 6.49	0.63		0.47
		0	0.05	0.04		0.16	0.06		0.07
		50	7.62	1.21		8.13	0.93		0.66
		100	15.21	1.68		14.58	1.19		0.88
	Female	- 400	- 28.93	1.65	13/6	- 37.23	1.94	20/12	0.003
		- 350	- 26.19	1.6		- 33.91	1.78		0.001
		- 300	- 22.97	1.21		- 30.23	1.61		0.002
		- 250	- 20.08	1.2		- 26.34	1.43		0.003
		- 200	- 16.75	1.1		- 22.33	1.28		0.003
		- 150	- 13.31	0.86		- 17.74	1.1		0.006
		- 100	- 9.3	0.62		- 12.68	0.86		0.01
		- 50	- 5.01	0.38		- 6.85	0.55		0.02
		0	0.09	0.08		0.21	0.15		0.34
		50	5.52	0.47		8.74	0.97		0.07
		100	11.92	0.99		18.63	2.57		0.02

Table 2 Voltage membrane response per current steps in alC and plC pyramidal neurons in both sexes

(Fig. 2D, Table 1). Consistent with these intrinsic passive properties, PCA revealed that the two distinct subregions were the primary contributors to the variance in this dataset (see Fig. 3B).

The excitatory/ inhibitory balance of across sex and IC subregions

Alterations in excitatory (glutamatergic)/ inhibitory (GABAergic) neurotransmission in the insular cortex have been proposed to play a causal role in the development of chronic pain state and perception of painful stimuli [14]. However, the E/I balance of IC subregions has not been characterized yet. First, we quantified the total charge transferred from whole-cell recorded spontaneous AMPA-mediated EPSCs (sEPSCs; Fig. 4A) and GABA-mediated IPSCs (sIPSCs; Fig. 4B) a parameter which accounts for both frequency and amplitude of spontaneous events. The total charge transfer of sEP-SCs in aIC was greater than that of the pIC in male only (Fig. 4C, Table 5). The total charge transfer of sIPSCs was similar across sexes and subregions (Fig. 4D, Table 5). Subsequently, we compared the relative distribution of sEPSCs and sIPSCs total charge transfer [15, 16]. Interestingly, in both sexes and subregions, sIPSCs were predominant (Fig. 4E–H) as show by the right-shift in the sIPSCs cumulative distribution, indicating that the E/I balance is shifted towards inhibition (Fig. 4E–H, Dot plots). Although the E/I balance in the IC is mainly influenced by inhibition, it is important to note that in both male and female the percentage of inhibition is larger in the pIC than in the aIC (Fig. 4E–H, Pie chart).

Subregional differences of excitatory synaptic transmission To explore the hypothesis that synaptic connectivity is responsible for the observed subregional differences in E/I balance, we conducted recordings and compared spontaneous AMPA-mediated post-synaptic currents in layer V pyramidal neurons within both sexes and subregions (Figs. 5, 6). In males, it became evident that the

Measure (pA)	Injected current (pA)	Contribu	tion		Correlation				
		PC1	PC2	PC3	PC1	PC2	PC3		
Voltage changes per current	- 400	6.04	2.96	0.46	0.9357	0.2814			
step (A)(m)	- 350	6.08	3.11	0.37	0.9386	0.2883			
$(\Delta V \Pi)$	- 300	6.12	3.00	0.47	0.9418	0.2832			
	- 250	6.11	3.25	0.36	0.941	0.2948			
	- 200	6.14	3.35	0.27	0.9435	0.2991			
	- 150	6.12	3.43	0.29	0.9423	0.3026			
	- 100	6.06	3.71	0.22	0.937	0.3149			
	- 50	5.81	4.78	0.02	0.918	0.3576			
	0	0.12	2.17	46.15		- 0.2409	0.7354		
	50	4.93	3.50	0.18	- 0.8459	- 0.3059	- 0.4256		
	100	2.18	7.46	5.40	- 0.5617	- 0.4465	0.2516		
Number of APs per	0	0.00	0.00	0.00					
current step	50	3.18	1.72	15.45	- 0.6795				
	100	5.17	1.30	4.98	- 0.866		- 0.2416		
	150	5.64	3.34	0.59	- 0.9041	0.2988			
	200	5.84	3.77	0.10	- 0.9204	0.3175			
	250	5.02	5.53	1.22	- 0.8534	0.3844			
	300	5.39	6.00	0.61	- 0.884	0.4006			
	350	4.28	8.47	2.35	- 0.7882	0.4757			
	400	2.46	13.04	7.30	- 0.5969	0.5904	0.2924		
Cm		1.25	0.11	9.13	0.4265		- 0.3271		
RH		4.43	2.81	2.59	0.8016	- 0.2739			
Vr		1.62	13.19	1.50	- 0.4847	0.5937			

Table 3	Principal	component	analysis	(PCA)	of IC	pyramidal	neurons i	intrinsic	properties
---------	-----------	-----------	----------	-------	-------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------

mean amplitude of post-synaptic currents in the pIC increased, while the mean frequency was lower compared to the anterior aIC (Fig. 5C–E, Table 6. Further analysis of the frequency distribution confirmed a higher proportion of larger but less frequent excitatory events in pIC compared to aIC (Fig. 5D–F).

In contrast, for females, the mean amplitude and frequency of spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) remained similar in both aIC and pIC (Fig. 6C– E, Table 6). A closer examination of the frequency distribution of individual sEPSCs, however, revealed a higher proportion of smaller and more frequent synaptic currents in aIC compared to pIC in females (Fig. 6D–F, Table 6). Notably, when comparing across sexes and subregions, a sex-specific difference was observed solely in pIC. Specifically, the primary frequency of sEPSCs was higher in females than in males (Additional file 2: Fig. S2B, Additional file 5: Table S2). Finally, no significant differences were found in the kinetics of excitatory events across both subregions and sexes (Additional file 2: Fig. S2C-D, Additional file 5: Table S2).

Differential GABAergic transmission in alC and plC of adult males

Spontaneous GABA_A-mediated inhibitory post-synaptic currents were recorded in IC principal neurons in whole-cell configuration (Figs. 7, 8). As shown in Fig. 7C, in adult male the mean amplitude of sIPSCs remained similar among the two cortexes. In contrast the frequency was lower in pIC when compared to those in aIC (Fig. 7E, Table 6). Indeed, the frequency distribution confirmed that inhibitory events were more frequent in aIC (Fig. 7F). In contrast, in female group both mean amplitude and frequency were comparable (Fig. 7C–E, Table 6). When compared across sex and subregion no further differences were found in the mean amplitude, frequency or kinetics of sIPSCs (Additional file 3: Fig. S3A–D, Additional file 5: Table S2).

LTP at the glutamatergic synapses in a sexand subregion-specific manner

The IC exhibits reciprocal connections with cortical and subcortical regions associated with sensory, cognitive, and memory functions, suggesting the involvement



Fig. 2 Sex and subregional differences in the excitability of insular cortex pyramidal neurons. **A**, **B** As indicated by the response of action potentials to progressive depolarizing current injection, pyramidal neurons exhibit a notably higher level of excitability in the pIC compared to the aIC, and this trend is consistent across both sexes. (500 ms, ranging from 0 to 600 pA in 50 pA steps). **C** Representative voltage traces evoked by the injection of hyper- and depolarizing current steps (500 ms, ranging from – 150 to 250 pA in 50 pA steps) in both anterior and posterior IC pyramidal neurons of both sexes. **D** During physiological depolarizing current stages, neurons from the aIC displayed less frequent firing compared to those from the pIC. Additionally, male pIC neurons showed a higher level of excitability than those of their female counterparts. **E** An example of firing patterns triggered by the injection of + 150 pA depolarizing currents for each group is provided. Data are presented as mean ± SEM in XY plots for (**A**, **B**), and as box-and-whisker plots (minimum, maximum, median) for (**C**). Mann-Whitney U test was applied for (**A**, **B**), and two-way ANOVA followed by Šidák's multiple comparison test was performed for (**C**). P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. The sample sizes for aIC male and female were 14/10 and 14/6, respectively, and for pIC male and female were 20/15 and 16/12, respectively

Measure	Sex	Injected	alC			plC			p value Multiple Mann– Whitney Unpaired t test
(unit)		Current(pA)	Mean	SEM	n/N	Mean	SEM	n/N	
Number of APs	Male	0	0	0	14/10	0	0	20/15	> 0.999999
		50	0.07	0.07		1.05	0.42		0.12
		100	1.36	0.73		4.75	1.18		0.09
		150	3.93	0.96		9.95	1.57		0.01
		200	6.36	1.51		14.95	1.78		0.003
		250	9	1.61		19.15	1.95		0.001
		300	12.07	1.56		21.95	1.97		0.001
		350	14.64	1.41		23.25	2.14		0.008
		400	17	1.28		23.45	2.14		0.02
		450	18.43	1.2		23	2.42		0.07
		500	19.5	1.13		22.85	2.45		0.11
		550	21	1.15		22.85	2.71		0.43
		600	21	1.26		19.35	3.14		0.67
	Female	0	0	0	14/6	0	0	16/12	> 0.999999
		50	0	0		0.94	0.48		0.10
		100	0.14	0.1		3.13	1.03		0.02
		150	1.43	0.6		6.5	1.44		0.008
		200	3.79	1.06		9.81	1.64		0.008
		250	6.86	1.23		13.25	1.47		0.005
		300	9.79	1.31		15.88	1.33		0.002
		350	12.29	1.41		18.06	1.27		0.005
		400	14	1.51		19.63	1.2		0.006
		450	15.86	1.61		20.94	1.09		0.02
		500	17.5	1.44		21.38	0.9		0.04
		550	19.21	1.34		21.63	1.14		0.18
		600	20.21	1.2		16.88	1.88		0.22

Table 4 Intrinsic excitability of alC and plC pyramidal neurons in both sexes

of synaptic plasticity mechanisms. While Long-Term Potentiation (LTP) has been studied in the aIC, our understanding of LTP in the pIC is limited. Additionally, there is a paucity of knowledge regarding potential sex differences in synaptic processes within the IC. Thus, excitatory post synaptic transmission in each IC were recorded in layer V pyramidal neuron in both male and female (Fig. 9A, B). We fist compared input–out-put (I/O) curves of fEPSP (Fig. 9C, D, Table 7). The I/O relationship did not differ between aIC and pIC in male

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 3 Principal component analysis (PCA) of intrinsic properties indicating that subregion is the main factor contributing to the variance in the dataset. This analysis was carried out using membrane capacitance, rheobase, resting membrane potentials, neuronal excitabilities, and the voltage membrane's response to varying injected current steps as quantitative variables. **A** Plotting the percentage of explained variance by each PC (histogram) reveals that most of the data set's variance is explained by PC1 (65.90%), followed by PC2 (12.15%) and PC3 (5.33%). Black dots represent the cumulative percentage of explained variance. **B**, **C** PCA graphs of individuals were built with PC1 and PC2 which together explained more then 80% of the variance (see **A**). Small dots represent individuals colored according to their belonging to one the following qualitative supplementary variables: subregion (top), sex (below). Ellipses represent the barycenter of individuals (i.e., mean) for each category. **B** PCA show that the subregion is the major contributor in the overall variance. **C** In contrast PCA showed that in both insular cortices male and female largely overlap



Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)

(Fig. 9C), in contrast female showed a marked difference across subregions. Indeed, along the entire I/O curve the aIC showed a greater synaptic strength compared to the pIC (Fig. 9D). LTP could be elicited in both aIC and pIC in male (Fig. 9E, F) and in contrast, LTP could only be induced in the aIC of female (Fig. 9G, H).

Discussion

The principal findings of this study reveal distinct electrophysiological characteristics between the aIC and pIC in both males and females. These differences encompass the properties of pyramidal neurons, with aIC neurons being larger and less excitable than those in pIC. Our study highlights that the E/I balance within the IC leans towards inhibition, with pIC exhibiting a higher degree of inhibitory activity compared to aIC, regardless of sex. Finally, there are sex-specific distinctions in synaptic plasticity.

Examination of the passive and active properties of layer V (L5) pyramidal neurons, revealed that in both sexes, principal neurons exhibit distinct characteristics along the rostro-caudal axis. Specifically, pyramidal neurons in the aIC are bigger and more hyperpolarized compared to those in the posterior pIC. Consequently, due to their different resting potentials, pyramidal neurons in aIC display a higher rheobase compared to those in pIC.

Although the voltage membrane response between aIC and pIC in males were similar, adult females exhibited a lower membrane response in aIC compared to pIC. These subregional variances in IC pyramidal neurons can be attributed to the distinct morphological and physiological properties associated with cortical neurons projecting to various cortical and subcortical targets [17]. Firing patterns primarily depend on a cell's intrinsic properties [18–20]. To investigate whether the differences in passive and active membrane properties between aIC and pIC influence the intrinsic excitability of L5 pyramidal neurons in both IC subregions, we

compared their excitability. Indeed, in accordance with the more hyperpolarized resting potential and higher rheobase, pyramidal neurons in the aIC displayed lower excitability when compared to those in the pIC. Importantly, this subregional distinction in excitability was consistently observed in both males and females, suggesting that it is a shared characteristic across sexes. The results show that the IC follows a posterior-to-anterior gradient, with pIC being highly excitable and aIC being less excitable. These differences in electrophysiological properties between the aIC and pIC have functional implications for information processing within the IC. For example, the larger size and lower excitability of pyramidal neurons in the aIC suggest a potential role in integrating and processing sensory information with a higher degree of precision or selectivity. On the other hand, the smaller size and higher excitability of pyramidal neurons in the pIC may be associated with the rapid integration and relay of sensory signals to downstream targets. Given the strong association between the functional output of a brain region and the intrinsic excitability of its neurons, it's reasonable to propose that the heightened excitability observed in pIC could be linked to its function as the primary input hub for sensory signals originating from the sensory cortex and sensory thalamic nuclei [13].

The excitatory and E/I balance is a crucial factor in brain function, yet the E/I balance within the IC had not been previously characterized. Our investigation revealed a prevailing presence of inhibitory signals over excitatory ones, demonstrating a similarity in both the aIC and pIC. Notably, pIC exhibited a higher proportion of inhibitory activity in both males and females, suggesting that inhibitory processes may play a more significant role in this subregion. This inhibitory tone is believed to function as a filter for synchronizing excitatory activity, enhancing temporal precision and the dynamic range of firing to coordinate responses to sensory input [21, 22]. Our findings that the E/I balance within the IC

⁽See figure on next page.)

Fig. 4 Sex and subregional profiles of the Excitatory/Inhibitory (E/I) balance of the Insular Cortex. **A**, **B** Schematic illustration of synaptic charge transferred by each sEPSCs (**A**) and sIPSCs (**B**) calculated as the area inside each event as indicated by the arrows. **C** An analysis of the total charge of AMPA-sEPSCs measured over a 6-min period across sexes revealed similar total charges in both male and female in both the alC and pIC. However, there was a higher total charge transfer observed in the alC compared with pIC, but this was only observed in males. **D** Similarly, the total charge of GABA-sIPSCs, when measured over a 6-min period considering both area and sex, showed a comparable amount of charge transferred. This was analogous to the observations made in **'C'** for AMPA-sEPSCs. **E–H** Cumulative frequency distribution of sEPSCs (excitation) and sIPSCs (inhibition) total charge transfer obtain from each Insular cortex within male and female animals. Insets: dot plots and pie graph showing the proportion of **E** versus **I** extrapolated at *P*=0.5 from the corresponding cumulative frequency. **C**, **D** Data are presented as box-and-whisker plots (minimum, maximum, median) and analyzed via two-way ANOVA followed by Šídák's multiple comparison. P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. **C** The sample sizes for alC male were 12/10 for pIC male 22/15, for alC female 13/10 and for pIC female 12/10. **D** The sample sizes for alC male were 19/11 for pIC male 13/9, for alC female 13/10 and for pIC female 16/10





Measure	Area	Sex	Median	МАХ	MIN	n/N	Two-way Anova Main effects and interactions	Multiple comparison (Šidák's post hoc test)		
sEPSCs Total charge (-pC)	alC plC	M F M F	- 180,894 - 117,082 - 88,857 - 105,611	- 60,757 - 28,617 - 21,648 - 27,801	- 235,773 - 205,287 - 233,047 - 199,381	12/10 13/10 22/15 12/10	Interaction: p = 0.1101 Area: F (1.53) = 3.680 p = 0.06	alC Male vs pIC Male p = 0.0216 alC Female vs pIC Female p = 0.9756 alC Male vs alC Female		
							Sex: F (1.53) = 1.017 p = 0.3178	p=0.1688 pIC Male vs pIC Female p=0.8692		
sIPSCs	alC	Μ	- 453,628	- 115,748	- 1,275,617	19/11	Interaction: p=0.2221	alC Male vs pIC Male		
Total charge		F	- 398,812	- 255,849	- 833,222	13/10	Area:	p=>0.9999		
(-pc)	pIC	Μ	- 319,953	- 89,489	- 692,799	13/9	F (1. 57)=0.1999 p=0.6565 Sex:	p = 0.9756		
	F - 481,728 - 43,312 - 1,263,5	- 1,263,915	16/10	F (1.57) = 1.486 p = 0.2278	alC Male vs alC Female p = 0.4082 plC Male vs plC Female p = 0.1789					

Table 5 Total charge transferred by AMPA- and GABA-mediated events in alC and plC of both sexes

tends to lean towards inhibition is consistent with previous observations in conditions related to the IC, such as pain-related disorders [14]. The prevalence of inhibitory signals over excitatory ones within the IC suggests that inhibitory processes play a significant role in regulating and coordinating the responses to sensory input. This E/I balance is crucial for maintaining the dynamic range of firing and temporal precision in sensory processing. Furthermore, the sex-specific differences in the E/I balance within the IC may indicate distinct mechanisms involved in multisensory integration between males and females. More generally, the characterization of the E/I balance within the IC has potential implications for understanding and treating sensory-related disorders. The observed predominance of inhibitory activity and the role of inhibitory signaling in filtering and modulating excitatory activity may have implications for conditions such as pain-related disorders, where aberrant sensory processing occurs.

I/O relationship. Moreover, while males exhibited com-
parable synaptic strength and LTP, females displayed
greater synaptic strength in aIC compared to pIC. In
females, the high-frequency stimulation protocol led to
LTP in aIC only. These sex-specific differences in synap-
tic strength and LTP observed between the aIC and pIC
suggest that synaptic plasticity mechanisms involved
in multisensory integration may differ between males
and females [23-26]. Further studies aimed at elucidat-
ing these differences can provide insights into the neural
mechanisms underlying sex-specific sensory processing
and cognition.

The data also revealed a sex-specific difference in the

Perspectives and significance

This research provides invaluable insights into the intricate role of the IC, a central hub for processing emotional and interoceptive information, which holds direct relevance in comprehending sex-based disparities in

Measure	Sex	alC				plC	p value			
								Multiple Mann– Whitnev		
		Median	MAX	MIN	n/N	Median	MAX	MIN	n/N	
sEPSCs amplitude (pA)	М	13.71	18.09	11.82	14/9	16.33	24.25	11.12	27/16	0.0229
sEPSCs frequency (Hz)	М	4.4	6.53	1.77		2.235	6.17	0.83		0.0116
sEPSCs amplitude (pA)	F	14.95	19.09	10.24	13/6	16.08	20.81	11.33	17/12	0.3851
sEPSCs frequency (Hz)	F	3.68	6.22	1.04		4.63	7.99	0.49		0.1252
sIPSCs amplitude (pA)	М	38.83	68.11	18.89	22/10	35.91	62.49	18.36	14/11	0.4507
sIPSCs frequency (Hz)	М	5.17	8.32	1.75		2.93	6.54	1		0.0418
sIPSCs amplitude (pA)	F	44.53	64.1	23.39	15/10	34.58	67	18.89	19/11	0.0876
sIPSCs frequency (Hz)	F	4.12	8.05	1.88		4.39	10.08	0.62		> 0.9999

 Table 6
 sE/IPSCs within the IC in both sexes



Fig. 5 Region-specific spontaneous excitatory synaptic activity in adult male IC pyramidal neurons. **A**, **B** Representative spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) recorded at – 70 mV in male alC and pIC. **C**, **D** On average, excitatory events are larger and less frequent in the pIC than the alC. **E**, **F** Log-normal curve fittings with confidence intervals (±Cl) reveal that the amplitude and frequency distribution are skewed to the right in the pIC as compared to the alC. Data are presented as box-and-whisker plots (minimum, maximum, median) and analyzed via Mann-Whitney U test. P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. The sample size for alC male was 14/9, and for pIC male was 27/16



Fig. 6 Differences in excitatory synaptic activity in female insular pyramidal neurons by region. **A**, **B** Representative spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) recorded at – 70 mV in female alC and plC. **C**, **D** On average, excitatory events are comparable in amplitude and frequency in both alC and plC. **E**, **F** Log-normal curve fittings with confidence intervals (±Cl) reveal higher proportion of smaller and more frequent events in the alC as compared to the plC. Data are presented as box-and-whisker plots (minimum, maximum, median) and analyzed via Mann–Whitney U test. P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. The sample size for alC female was 13/6, and for plC female was 17/12



Fig. 7 Differences in inhibitory synaptic activity among male pyramidal neurons in the insular cortex, by region. **A**, **B** Representative spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) recorded at – 70 mV in male alC and plC. **C**, **D** Upon conducting a quantitative analysis of the mean amplitude and frequency across these areas, it was found that although the amplitudes were similar, there was a lower frequency of inhibitory events in the plC when compared to the alC. **E**, **F** Log-normal curve fittings with confidence intervals (±Cl) reveal that the amplitude and frequency distribution are skewed to the right and to the left respectively in the alC as compared to the plC. Data are presented as box-and-whisker plots (minimum, maximum, median) and analyzed via Mann–Whitney U test. P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. The sample size for alC male was 22/10, and for plC male was 14/11

behavior and cognition. Through the examination of distinctive properties of pyramidal neurons within both the anterior and posterior IC, our study not only underscores sex-specific variations but also unveils their potential implications on intrinsic properties, synaptic plasticity, and excitatory transmission. These findings offer a fresh perspective on the neural underpinnings of sexrelated differences in behavior and cognition, holding the promise of extending their impact to the development of more precisely targeted interventions and treatments. This is particularly pertinent in disorders where the IC plays a pivotal role, given that these conditions often exhibit a pronounced sex bias, including prevalent instances such as anxiety, depression, and somatosensory processing disorders.



Fig. 8 Similarities in inhibitory synaptic activity among female pyramidal neurons across different subregions of the insular cortex. **A**, **B** Representative spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) recorded at – 70 mV in female alC and plC. **C**, **D** On average, ihibitory events are comparable in amplitude and frequency in both alC and plC. **E**, **F** Log-normal curve fittings with confidence intervals (±Cl) reveal higher proportion of smaller events in plC compared to alC. Data are presented as box-and-whisker plots (minimum, maximum, median) and analyzed via Mann–Whitney U test. P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. The sample size for alC female was 15/10, and for plC female was 19/11

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 9 Long-term potentiation in the insular cortex varies based on sex and subregion. **A**, **B** A schematic illustration indicates the alC and plC, both delineated by red dashed lines. Stimulation and recordings were applied and gathered respectively from layer V pyramidal neurons in each insular cortex. **C**, **D** An examination of the input–output (I/O) relationship showed a comparable synaptic strength in both the alC and plC of adult males (**C**). Conversely, female alC exhibited a greater synaptic strength in comparison to plC. **E–G** The field excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSP), shown as a percentage of the baseline, was observed before and after the application of high-frequency stimulation (HFS). The point in time at which HFS was applied is shown by the arrow. **E** When HFS protocol was administered to layer V pyramidal neurons in adult males, it led to long-term potentiation (LTP) in both the anterior and posterior insular cortices. **F** The fEPSP magnitude at baseline (from 10 to 0 min) and during LTP (from 20 to 30 min after induction), corresponding to the normalized values in '**C**', demonstrated a notable difference in the 10-min baseline period and the final 10 min of recording in both male insular cortices. **G** In contrast, the same protocol, when applied in adult females, only induced a strong LTP in the alC. **H** The fEPSP magnitude at baseline (from 10 to 0 min) and during LTP (from 20 to 30 min post-induction), corresponding to the normalized values in '**F**', showed a significant difference in the 10-min baseline period and the final 10 min of recording, but only in the alC of adult females. **C-D-E-G** Data are presented as mean ± SEM in XY plots and analyzed via Mann–Whitney U test (**C**, **D**). P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. **F–H** Data are shown as pre-post individual experiments and analyzed via Wilcoxon P test. P-values < 0.05 are depicted in the graphs. **F–H** Data are shown as pre-post individual experiments and analyzed via Wilcoxon P test. P-values < 0.05 are depicted in t



Fig. 9 (See legend on previous page.)

Measure (unit)	Condition	Injected current (μΑ)	alC			plC	p value Multiple Mann- Whitney Unpaired t test		
			Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n	
I/O	Male	30	- 0.07	0.02	7	- 0.04	0.004	8	0.53
		40	- 0.11	0.024		- 0.06	0.009		0.12
		50	- 0.14	0.02		- 0.10	0.015		0.24
		60	- 0.18	0.03		- 0.14	0.02		0.32
		70	- 0.22	0.03		- 0.18	0.022		0.66
		80	- 0.24	0.04		- 0.23	0.039		> 0.999999
		90	- 0.29	0.06		- 0.26	0.04		> 0.999999
		100	- 0.31	0.06		- 0.29	0.03		0.79
		110	- 0.32	0.06		- 0.33	0.04		0.79
		120	- 0.34	0.07		- 0.33	0.04		0.79
		130	- 0.35	0.06		- 0.33	0.05		0.93
		140	- 0.30	0.05		- 0.33	0.047		0.69
	Female	30	- 0.06	0.007	7	- 0.05	0.004	8	0.05
		40	- 0.12	0.009		- 0.08	0.006		0.007
		50	- 0.16	0.01		- 0.11	0.010		0.008
		60	- 0.20	0.02		- 0.15	0.015		0.03
		70	- 0.25	0.015		- 0.19	0.02		0.04
		80	- 0.30	0.015		- 0.22	0.02		0.02
		90	- 0.37	0.015		- 0.26	0.02		0.002
		100	- 0.40	0.02		- 0.29	0.028		0.01
		110	- 0.42	0.02		- 0.31	0.03		0.01
		120	- 0.47	0.03		- 0.33	0.029		0.01
		130	- 0.51	0.03		- 0.33	0.033		0.003
		140	- 0.52	0.04		- 0.34	0.035		0.008

Table 7 Input–output (I/O) Relationship of alC and pIC of adult males and females

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13293-024-00593-4.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Comparative analysis of I-V relationships and excitability in male and female anterior and posterior IC neurons. (A-B) Incremental current injections of 50 pA from - 400 pA to + 50 pA exhibited no significant differences in the I–V relationship for male and female neurons in both anterior and posterior IC. (C-D) Gradual depolarizing current injections (500 ms, ranging from 0 to 600 pA in 50 pA increments) revealed comparable excitability in both male and female neurons within the same area. (A-B) Each dot similarly represents the group mean value at the given current step, with data shown as mean ± SEM in an XY plot. A Mann–Whitney U test was used, and *p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. (C-D) Each dot indicates the group mean value for the respective current step, with data presented as mean ± CI in an XY plot. A Mann-Whitney U test was used for statistical analysis, with a *p-value < 0.05 considered significant. (A-D) alC male is represented as 10/14 in dark orange, alC female as 6/14 in light orange, plC male as 15/20 in dark blue, and pIC female as 12/16 in light blue.

Additional file 2: Figure S2. Quantitative evaluation of amplitude, frequency, and kinetics of excitatory events in adult male and female anterior and posterior IC neurons. A quantitative analysis of mean amplitude and frequency in relation to area and sex demonstrated greater amplitude (A) but lower frequency (B) of pIC excitatory events compared to aIC events in adult males only. (B) In females, pIC was characterized by higher excitatory transmission compared to male pIC. (C–D) The kinetics of sEPSC were consistent across both areas and sexes. (A–D) Individual neurons are represented by single dots. Data are displayed as box and whisker plots (min., max., median). A two-way ANOVA followed by a Śidák multiple comparison test was used for data analysis. P-values < 0.05 are indicated in the graphs. aIC male is represented as 9/14 in dark orange, pIC male as 16/27 in dark blue, aIC female as 6/13 in light orange, and pIC female as 12/17 in light blue.

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Quantitative assessment of sIPSC events in male and female anterior and posterior IC neurons: inhibitory transmission comparison. A quantitative analysis of sIPSC events in relation to area and sex showed similar amplitude of sICPCs in both males and females (A), while there was a lower frequency of inhibitory events in the pIC when compared to the aIC, in only male (B). No differences were found in the kinetic of sIPSCs (C-D). Each dot represents an individual neuron. Data are displayed as box and whisker plots (min., max., median). A two-way ANOVA followed by a Šídák multiple comparison test was used for data analysis. P-values < 0.05 are indicated in the graphs. aIC male is represented as 10/21 in dark orange, pIC male as 11/15 in dark blue, aIC female as 10/16 in light orange, and pIC female as 11/19 in light blue.

Additional file 4: Table S1. Comparative analysis of I-V relationships and excitability in male and female anterior and posterior IC neurons.

Additional file 5: Table S2. Quantitative evaluation of amplitude, frequency, and kinetics of excitatory and inhibitory events in adult male and female of anterior and posterior IC neurons.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Chavis-Manzoni team members for helpful discussions.

Author contributions

DI: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing original draft, review and editing. AC: Formal analysis. BS: Statistical analysis. PC: Conceptualization, Supervision. OJM: Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Writing—original draft, review, and editing.

Funding

This work was supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) and the NIH (R01DA043982).

Availability of data and materials

All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request. This paper does not report original code. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Animals were treated in compliance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC) and the United States National Institutes of Health Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. All procedures using experimental animals were approved by Aix-Marseille University Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee.

Consent for publication

All authors read and approved the final manuscript for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹INMED, INSERM U1249, Marseille, France. ²Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France. ³INMED, INSERM U901, Parc Scientifique de Luminy, BP13 13273 Marseille Cedex 09, France.

Received: 11 October 2023 Accepted: 14 February 2024 Published online: 01 April 2024

References

- 1. Uddin LQ, Nomi JS, Hébert-Seropian B, Ghaziri J, Boucher O. Structure and function of the human insula. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2017;34:300–6.
- Lamm C, Singer T. The role of anterior insular cortex in social emotions. Brain Struct Funct. 2010;2010(214):579–91.
- Pressman P, Rosen HJ. Disorders of frontal lobe function. Neurobiology of brain disorders: biological basis of neurological and psychiatric disorders. Elsevier Inc.; 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-398270-4.00033-1.
- Rolls ET. Limbic structures, emotion, and memory. Curated Ref Collect Neurosci Biobehav Psychol. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809324-5.06857-7.
- Seney ML, Nestler EJ. Introduction to special issue: insight into sex differences in neuropsychiatric syndromes from transcriptomic analyses. Biol Psychiatry. 2022;91:3–5.
- 6. White AM. Gender differences in the epidemiology of alcohol use and related harms in the United States. Alcohol Res. 2020;40(2):01.

- McLean CP, Asnaani A, Litz BT, Hofmann SG. Gender differences in anxiety disorders: prevalence, course of illness, comorbidity and burden of illness. J Psychiatr Res. 2011;45:1027–35.
- Bahrami F, Yousefi N. Females are more anxious than males: a metacognitive perspective. Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci. 2011;5:83.
- 10. Christiansen DM, Berke ET. Gender- and sex-based contributors to sex differences in PTSD. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2020;22(4):19.
- 11. Nagai M, Kishi K, Kato S. Insular cortex and neuropsychiatric disorders: a review of recent literature. Eur Psychiatry. 2007;22:387–94.
- 12. Ibrahim C, Le Foll B, French L. Transcriptomic characterization of the human insular cortex and claustrum. Front Neuroanat. 2019;13:94.
- Gehrlach DA, et al. A whole-brain connectivity map of mouse insular cortex. Elife. 2020;9:1–78.
- 14. Watson CJ. Insular balance of glutamatergic and GABAergic signaling modulates pain processing. Pain. 2016;157:2194–207.
- Bouamrane L, et al. Reelin-haploinsufficiency disrupts the developmental trajectory of the e/i balance in the prefrontal cortex. Front Cell Neurosci. 2017;10:308.
- Gkogkas CG, et al. Autism-related deficits via dysregulated elF4E-dependent translational control. Nature. 2012;2012(493):371–7.
- Hattox AM, Nelson SB. Layer V neurons in mouse cortex projecting to different targets have distinct physiological properties. J Neurophysiol. 2007;98:3330–40.
- Cauli B, et al. Molecular and physiological diversity of cortical nonpyramidal cells. J Neurosci. 1997;17:3894–906.
- 19. Parra P, Gulyás AI, Miles R. How many subtypes of inhibitory cells in the hippocampus? Neuron. 1998;20:983–93.
- Molineux ML, et al. Specific T-type calcium channel isoforms are associated with distinct burst phenotypes in deep cerebellar nuclear neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103:5555–60.
- 21. Ferguson BR, Gao WJ. PV interneurons: critical regulators of E/I balance for prefrontal cortex-dependent behavior and psychiatric disorders. Front Neural Circuits. 2018;12:37.
- 22. Cardin JA. Inhibitory interneurons regulate temporal precision and correlations in cortical circuits. Trends Neurosci. 2018;41:689–700.
- Wang Z, Guo Y, Mayer EA, Holschneider DP. Sex differences in insular functional connectivity in response to noxious visceral stimulation in rats. Brain Res. 2019;1717:15–26.
- Dai YJ, Zhang X, Yang Y, Nan HY, Yu Y, Sun Q, Yan LF, Hu B, Zhang J, Qiu ZY, Gao Y, Cui GB, Chen BL, Wang W. Gender differences in functional connectivities between insular subdivisions and selective pain-related brain structures. J Headache Pain. 2018;19(1):24.
- Eltumi HG, Tashani OA. Effect of age, sex and gender on pain sensitivity: a narrative review. Open Pain J. 2017;10:44–55.
- Moriguchi Y, Touroutoglou A, Dickerson BC, Barrett LF. Sex differences in the neural correlates of affective experience. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2014;9:591–600.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.